

AMY M. WOOD CLERK OF THE COURT

Court of Appeals

STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION ONE
STATE COURTS BUILDING
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

September 3, 2020

Donna McQuality, Clerk Yavapai County Superior Court Yavapai County Courthouse 120 S Cortez St No 300 Prescott AZ 86301-3868

Dear Ms. McQuality:

RE: 1 CA-SA 20-0157

HAM v. HON AINLEY/STATE
Yavapai County Superior Court
P1300CR201901558

A decision was filed in the above referenced matter on July 27, 2020. The time for the filing of a motion for reconsideration has expired and no motion was filed. The time for the filing of a petition for review has expired and no such petition was filed. Accordingly, enclosing the following:

Copy of ORDER accepting jurisdiction and denying relief (certified copy)

There are no physical record items to be returned to your Court.

AMY M. WOOD, CLERK

A copy of the foregoing was sent to:

Edward F Novak Melissa Ho Andrew Fox



DIVISION ONE FILED: 09/03/2020 AMY M. WOOD, CLERK

BY: DN

Phone: (602) 452-6700 Fax: (602) 452-3226

FILED O'Clock P.M.

SEP 0 3 2020

DONNA MCQUALITY, Clerk
By: I. FENTON

Brett James Harames Hon Tina R Ainley IN THE

COURT OF APPEALS

STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION ONE



DIVISION ONE FILED: 7/27/20
AMY M. WOOD,

CLERK BY: **RB**

			DI
MICHAEL L. HAM,)	Court of Appeals
)	Division One
	Petitioner,)	No. 1 CA-SA 20-0157
)	
V.)	Yavapai County
)	Superior Court
THE HONORABLE TINA R.	AINLEY,)	No. P1300CR201901558
Judge of the SUPERIOR	COURT OF)	
THE STATE OF ARIZONA,	in and for)	
the County of YAVAPAI,)	
)	
Respon	ndent Judge,)	
)	
STATE OF ARIZONA,)	
)	
Real Party i	n Interest.)	
		1	

ORDER ACCEPTING SPECIAL ACTION JURISDICTION AND DENYING RELIEF

The court, Presiding Judge Samuel A. Thumma, Judge D. Steven Williams and Judge David D. Weinzweig, has considered the petition for special action filed by Petitioner. After consideration,

IT IS ORDERED, because Petitioner has no right to an appeal, in the exercise of its discretion, the court accepts jurisdiction of the special action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, because Petitioner has failed to show reversible error, the court denies the relief requested.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED vacating this court's previous order in its entirety including the obligation requiring the filing and service of a response to the petition.